

Session 3: Estimating Revenue Foregone

Kyle McNabb

k.mcنabb@odi.org.uk

Introduction

- Methods

- Focus on technicalities:
 - **Estimating Revenue foregone under the Income Tax**
 - Zoom in on two important issues
 1. A firm's profitability
 2. Timing effects

 - **Ordering, interactions and reporting**

Methods

Three methodologies or approaches to measure TEs:

- **Revenue foregone:** estimates the amount by which taxpayers have their tax liabilities reduced as a result of a TE based on their actual current economic behavior
- **Revenue gain:** estimates the additional revenue that would be collected if a TE was removed, accounting for potential behavioral changes resulting from this removal
- **Outlay equivalent:** estimates the government cash outlay required for an alternative direct spending program replacing the TE that would have the same benefit for the taxpayers - also, assuming no behavioral changes

Introduction

- Important to remember that revenue foregone is an **estimate**.
- Many of the calculations bring together data from different parts of government etc., and at times we must rely on assumptions
 - This is the case wherever TE reporting is carried out
- For some, the requisite data does not exist, or it is not captured in an appropriate manner.
- Or modelling might suffer from some limitations
 - Again, this is commonplace.

Estimating TE under the Income Tax

- Often area where most interest lies – e.g., CIT holidays viewed as harmful / opaque.
- Calculation methods differ from provision to provision
 - Microsimulation model can be useful, but not always necessary
 - Some basic principles are useful and can be applied in most cases

Revenue foregone under the Corporate Income Tax (CIT)

Utilizing data from CIT administrative returns; broadly:

- Step 1: Estimate the tax unit or size of the base on which to estimate revenue foregone **[difficult]**
- Step 2: Multiply by Statutory CIT rate **[easy]**

Income Tax: CIT Holiday

E.g. (i) a tax holiday:

- Assume **Firm A** received a CIT holiday and sets up manufacturing plant.
- Data required: CIT returns
- The firm's CIT return shows that Chargeable (Taxable) income in FY21/22 was \$100m.
- CIT rate = 30%
 - Revenue foregone in FY21/22 = \$30m. [$\$100\text{m} * 30\%$ CIT rate]

Data

- Different levels of detail help us to better estimate revenue foregone

- Consider the case of a tax deduction that represents a TE. You might have administrative data on:
 1. Amount of deduction claimed, in a given year
 2. Amount of deduction claimed, **and firms' income position in a given year**
 3. Amount of deduction claimed, ***and firms' income position for many years***

- Following example: Show how different levels of detail in the data per (1) (2) (3) lead to difference in a) **estimate of revenue foregone** and b) **timing of revenue foregone**

Income Tax: Deductions

- You have data on:
 - **1. Amount of deduction claimed in a given year**
 - E.g. in 2018/19, company claims a tax deduction of \$500m
 - Statutory CIT rate is 30%
 - Very basic approximation of revenue foregone would be:

$$\$500\text{m} \times (30\%) = \mathbf{\$150\text{m in 2018/19.}}$$

Income Tax: Deductions

- 2. You now have data on: Amount of deduction claimed, and firms' income position in a given year

Consider 3 different firms

	Profit / Loss	Tax deduction	Taxable Income	Tax Liability	Tax liability if deduction did not exist	Revenue Foregone
Firm 1	\$1,000m	\$500m	\$500m	\$500m * 30% = \$150m	\$1,000m * 30% = \$300m	\$150m

Timing issues and loss carried forward

- The ability to carry forward losses is a standard feature of income tax systems and not normally considered as a TE.
 - (Some countries do place restrictions)
- But when calculating the revenue foregone from deductions under the income tax, then an understanding of a firms' loss position **over time** is crucial.
- If a TE (such as a deduction) brings the firm into a tax loss position, then the effect of this could take some years to 'wash out' and thus revenue foregone is spread out over a number of years.

Timing issues and loss carried forward

- \$500m deduction in the year FY18/19
- For this, and each of the subsequent years, firm makes a taxable profit of +\$250m, but makes no further investments that qualify for a deduction.
- Assume asset not depreciable (**unrealistic!**).
- **You have data on the firms' income position over time**

[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Financial Year	Loss from previous year	Profit / Loss	Deduction	Taxable income	CIT paid	Taxable income if no deduction in FY18/19	Revenue Foregone (30% of Taxable Income)	Loss carried forward
				[3] - [4]				

Timing issues and loss carried forward

- In this hypothetical scenario, revenue foregone from a deduction taken in FY18/19 is spread across two financial years.
- **Over two years, the total TE is \$150m** (75m in each year)
 - *If we had just looked at the year in which the deduction takes place, we would have only counted \$75m in 2018/19, and nothing in subsequent years*
- Thus, both issues of a firm's profitability and loss-making position can affect how much – and when – revenue foregone is reported.
- We made simplifying assumptions – in reality this is more complex.
- It might not be possible to fully incorporate this: a lot of data required.

Ordering, interactions and reporting: Two TEs

- Consider an import, worth **\$100** (CIF value)
- Customs Duty is levied at **25%** (on CIF value) = **\$25**
- VAT is levied at **20%** (on CIF value + Customs Duty) = **(\$125*20%) = \$25**

Under Benchmark System:

$$\text{\$100} + (25\%) = \text{\$125}$$

$$+ (20\%) = \text{\$150}$$

Gov't would collect **\$25** in customs and **\$25** in VAT

How would we calculate revenue foregone if this item was (i) customs exempt (ii) VAT exempt or (iii) both?

Ordering, interactions and reporting: Two TEs

(i) What if item was customs exempt (with VAT at 20%)?

How to calculate revenue foregone?

- If no Customs duty, then current VAT collections = $\$100 * 20\% = \20
- Recall: Customs duty forms part of the VAT base
- So, revenue foregone from customs exemption (vs. benchmark) is 25% of $\$100 = (\$25)$
- + there is a “knock on” effect on VAT base
 - Simply additional 20% of that $\$25 = \5
- $\$30 (\$25 + \$5)$ revenue foregone in total arising from the customs exemption

Ordering, interactions and reporting

- **(ii) What if item was only VAT exempt?**

$$\text{\$100} + (25\%) = \text{\$125}$$

$$+ (20\%) = \text{\$150}$$

- Recall: comparing with the benchmark system
- Revenue foregone from the VAT exemption is 20% of CIF + Customs ($\text{\$125}$) = **\\$25**

- **(iii) What if it was both VAT and customs exempt?**

- Revenue foregone from both provisions..?
 - $\text{\$30} + \text{\$25} = \text{\$55}$

Ordering, interactions and reporting

- We found:
 - \$30 revenue foregone from the customs exemption vs Benchmark
 - \$25 revenue foregone from the VAT exemption vs Benchmark
- *In isolation* these statements / calculations are correct.
- But you cannot add these and say that total revenue foregone from both provisions is \$55.
 - Many TE reports do not include a 'total' revenue foregone figure for this reason (amongst others)
- With T.E.s that interact, calculating both vs. benchmark system then summing might lead to misleading estimates.
 - Ordering and method chosen is also important.

Concluding Remarks

- Revenue foregone the most common method of computing Tax Expenditures
- Under the Corporate Income Tax, holidays and deductions are common TEs.
- We highlighted how differing levels of detail in data can affect *how much* and *when* revenue foregone is reported.
 - Firm profitability
 - Timing effects & loss carried forward
- When TEs interact, the order in which you do the calculation matters: estimating both separately and summing can be misleading!

The Centre for Tax Analysis in Developing
Countries (TaxDev)
LinkedIn: @taxdev
Twitter: #TaxDev

www.taxdev.org

The Institute for Fiscal Studies
7 Ridgmount Street
London
WC1E 7AE

www.ifs.org.uk

The Overseas Development Institute
203 Blackfriars Road
London
SE1 8NJ

www.odi.org

TaxDev

Annex: multiple TEs

"Alternative Approach" : Calculates RF

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{BM Customs Duty} - \text{Actual Customs Duty}) + (\text{BM VAT} - \text{Actual VAT}) \\ & (\$25 - \$0) + (\$25 - 0) \end{aligned}$$