

Survey on forward-looking and current DRM data

Results

19 JUNE | ONLINE

Tax systems that work for people and advance the Sustainable Development Goals

General information



Gather information from ATI development partners on the feasibility of compiling and sharing data on **ongoing** and **planned** DRM-related activities in partner countries supported by DPs



15 questions in total



10 out of 20 ATI development partners responded to the survey (Belgium, Canada, Denmark, EU, Finland, Italy, Norway, Slovak Republic, Sweden, US)

Ongoing DRM-related projects



7/10 development partners compile data on **ongoing** projects



5 of those would be able to share their data



Information includes mostly recipients, timeframes, descriptions.
Data on committed and disbursed volumes is sparse



Available information is mostly available at the project level (1 at the recipient level)

Planned DRM-related projects



3/10 development partners compile data on **planned** projects




2 of those would be able to share their data



Information would be available at the project level covering a timeframe of 3-5 years and at the recipient covering 1 year

Relevance (I)

“In your own opinion, how relevant would you rate a (hypothetical) database on ongoing and planned DRM activities by other development partners for your organisation’s own planning processes?”




■ "Relevant" or "very relevant"

■ "Not very relevant" or "not relevant"

Relevance (II)

“In your own opinion, how likely would such a database facilitate your coordination efforts with other development partners and support you in identifying and addressing gaps in DRM projects?”




■ "Likely" or "very likely"

■ "Not likely" or "very unlikely"

Relevance (III)

"In your own opinion, how likely would such a database influence the allocation of funds to DRM purposes? I.e., would your organisation use the database as a determinant for fund allocation for DRM support?"



■ "Likely" or "very likely"

■ "Not likely" or "very unlikely"

Most used existing coordination channels



Multilateral and regional organisations (OECD FTA, IMF, WB, UNDP, TIWB etc.)



Bilateral consultations between development partners



Coordination at the country level

Perceived hurdles to a proposed database



Maintenance

- Providing data from development partners' side
- Ensuring actuality and quality/completeness of data on the Secretariat's side




Relevance of database

- Decentralised approaches of decision-making
- Usefulness of data
- “Is lack of access to such information main barrier to coordination?”

How could ATI enhance donor coordination?



Reach out to other fora such as OECD FTA Capacity Building Network to set up common data reporting frameworks



Address upstream considerations on main barriers to coordination between ATI development partners



Enhancing online useability of existing ATI DRM database

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Tax systems that work for people and advance the Sustainable Development Goals